arXiv:1611.02271v5 [nlin.SI] 22 Dec 2016

A Lax pair of the discrete Euler top

Kinji Kimura
Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto, JAPAN

Abstract

We proposed the discrete Euler top in 2000. In that paper, exact solutions and conserved quantities are described. However, a Lax pair of our proposed discrete Euler top is not contained. Moreover, the Lax pair is still unknown. In this paper, from a generalized eigenvalue problem, we obtain the Lax pair of the discrete Euler top.

1 Introduction

We proposed the discrete Euler top in [1]. In that paper, exact solutions and conserved quantities are described. However, a Lax pair of our proposed discrete Euler top is not contained. Moreover, the Lax pair is still unknown[2]. In this paper, from a generalized eigenvalue problem, we obtain the Lax pair of the discrete Euler top.

2 A generalized eigenvalue problem

We consider a generalized eigenvalue problem[5]. Let A and B as $m \times m$ matrices. Let us denote eigenvalues by λ. Define $\phi(\lambda)$ as eigenvectors.

$$A\phi(\lambda) = \lambda B\phi(\lambda), \tag{1}$$

such that,

$$\tilde{A}T^{(1)} = T^{(2)}A, \tilde{B}T^{(1)} = T^{(2)}B, \tag{2}$$

where $\tilde{A}$, $\tilde{B}$, $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(2)}$ are also $m \times m$ matrices. We define the eigenvectors $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ as follows,

$$\tilde{\phi}(\lambda) = T^{(1)}\phi(\lambda). \tag{3}$$

From the eqs. (1), (2) and (3), we obtain,

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{\phi}(\lambda) = \lambda\tilde{B}\tilde{\phi}(\lambda). \tag{4}$$

From the repetition of the above operation, we can get,

$$A_n\phi_n(\lambda) = \lambda B_n\phi_n(\lambda), \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots, \tag{5}$$

$$A_{n+1}T_n^{(1)} = T_n^{(2)}A_n, B_{n+1}T_n^{(1)} = T_n^{(2)}B_n, \tag{6}$$

$$\phi_{n+1}(\lambda) = T_n^{(1)}\phi_n(\lambda), \tag{7}$$

where A_n and B_n are $m \times m$ matrices and $\phi_n(\lambda)$ is eigenvectors. If we choose $T^{(1)} = B, T^{(2)} = \tilde{B}$, then $\tilde{A}B = \tilde{B}A$. In this case, $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda) = B\phi(\lambda)$. Moreover, A_n and B_n satisfy,

$$A_{n+1}B_n = B_{n+1}A_n, \tag{8}$$

which is a well-known result(see [3, 4]). From the eq. (5), λ is the conserved quantity. Then, computing the characteristic polynomial,

$$p(\lambda) = \det(\lambda B_n - A_n) = c_m\lambda^m + \cdots + c_0, \tag{9}$$

we can obtain the following conserved quantities,

$$H_0 = \frac{c_0}{c_m}, \cdots, H_{m-1} = \frac{c_{m-1}}{c_m}. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, we can regard A_n and B_n as the discrete version of the Lax pair. However, the number of dependent variables of n in A_n and B_n is equal to $2m \times m$. If A_n and B_n are arbitrary matrices, we cannot compute dependent variables of A_n and B_n uniquely from the eq. (8). In the next section, as examples of A_n and B_n, we propose special matrices which consist of only $m \times (m-1)$ dependent variables.

3 Examples of A_n and B_n

Let $\alpha_{1,1}, \cdots, \alpha_{1,m}, \alpha_{2,2}, \cdots, \alpha_{2,m}, \cdots, \alpha_{m,m}, \beta_{1,1}, \cdots, \beta_{1,m}, \beta_{2,2}, \cdots, \beta_{2,m}, \cdots, \beta_{m,m}$ be parameters. Define $x^n_{1,2}, \cdots, x^n_{1,m}, x^n_{2,1}, x^n_{2,3}, \cdots, x^n_{2,m}, x^n_{3,1}, x^n_{3,2}, x^n_{3,4}, \cdots, x^n_{3,m}, \cdots, x^n_{m,1}, \cdots, x^n_{m,m}$ as $m \times (m-1)$ dependent variables of n. If we define,

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2}x^n_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3}x^n_{1,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,m}x^n_{1,m} \\ \alpha_{1,2}x^n_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3}x^n_{2,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{2,m}x^n_{2,m} \\ \alpha_{1,3}x^n_{3,1} & \alpha_{2,3}x^n_{3,2} & \ddots & \cdots & \alpha_{3,m}x^n_{3,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{1,m}x^n_{m,1} & \alpha_{2,m}x^n_{m,2} & \cdots & \alpha_{m-1,m}x^n_{m,m-1} & \alpha_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \beta_{1,2}x^n_{1,2} & \beta_{1,3}x^n_{1,3} & \cdots & \beta_{1,m}x^n_{1,m} \\ \beta_{1,2}x^n_{2,1} & \beta_{2,2} & \beta_{2,3}x^n_{2,3} & \cdots & \beta_{2,m}x^n_{2,m} \\ \beta_{1,3}x^n_{3,1} & \beta_{2,3}x^n_{3,2} & \ddots & \cdots & \beta_{3,m}x^n_{3,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{1,m}x^n_{m,1} & \beta_{2,m}x^n_{m,2} & \cdots & \beta_{m-1,m}x^n_{m,m-1} & \beta_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

then we can obtain many kinds of the discrete systems from the eq. (8).

3.1 Conjecture

Let $c_1, \cdots, c_m, d_1, \cdots, d_m, f_1, \cdots, f_m, g_1, \cdots, g_m$ be parameters.
Define $x^n_{1,2}, \cdots, x^n_{1,m}, x^n_{2,1}, x^n_{2,3}, \cdots, x^n_{2,m}, x^n_{3,1}, x^n_{3,2}, x^n_{3,4}, \cdots, x^n_{3,m}, \cdots,$

$x^n_{m,1}, \cdots, x^n_{m,m}$ as $m \times (m-1)$ dependent variables of n. As a conjecture, if we define,

$$C = \mathrm{diag}(c_1, \cdots, c_m), \tag{13}$$

$$D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \cdots, d_m), \tag{14}$$

$$F = \mathrm{diag}(f_1, \cdots, f_m), \tag{15}$$

$$G = \mathrm{diag}(g_1, \cdots, g_m), \tag{16}$$

$$X_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^n_{1,2} & x^n_{1,3} & \cdots & x^n_{1,m} \\ x^n_{2,1} & 0 & x^n_{2,3} & \cdots & x^n_{2,m} \\ x^n_{3,1} & x^n_{3,2} & \ddots & \cdots & x^n_{3,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x^n_{m,1} & x^n_{m,2} & \cdots & x^n_{m,m-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

$$A_n = C + FX_n - X_nF, \tag{18}$$

$$B_n = D + GX_n - X_nG, \tag{19}$$

where C, D, F and G are diagonal matrices, then we can obtain many kinds of the discrete integrable systems from the eq. (8).

4 A Lax pair of the discrete Euler top

Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ be parameters. Define $\omega^n_1, \omega^n_2, \omega^n_3$ as dependent variables of n. If we define,

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^n_1 & \omega^n_2 & \omega^n_3 \\ -\omega^n_1 & 0 & \omega^n_3 & -\omega^n_2 \\ -\omega^n_2 & -\omega^n_3 & 0 & \omega^n_1 \\ -\omega^n_3 & \omega^n_2 & -\omega^n_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta_1\omega^n_1 & \beta_2\omega^n_2 & \beta_3\omega^n_3 \\ -\beta_1\omega^n_1 & 1 & \beta_3\omega^n_3 & -\beta_2\omega^n_2 \\ -\beta_2\omega^n_2 & -\beta_3\omega^n_3 & 1 & \beta_1\omega^n_1 \\ -\beta_3\omega^n_3 & \beta_2\omega^n_2 & -\beta_1\omega^n_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

then we can obtain the discrete Euler top,

$$\omega^{n+1}_1 - \omega^n_1 = (\beta_3 - \beta_2)(\omega^{n+1}_2\omega^n_3 + \omega^n_2\omega^{n+1}_3), \tag{22}$$

$$\omega^{n+1}_2 - \omega^n_2 = (\beta_1 - \beta_3)(\omega^{n+1}_3\omega^n_1 + \omega^n_3\omega^{n+1}_1), \tag{23}$$

$$\omega^{n+1}_3 - \omega^n_3 = (\beta_2 - \beta_1)(\omega^{n+1}_1\omega^n_2 + \omega^n_1\omega^{n+1}_2), \tag{24}$$

which is proposed in [1] from the eq. (8). A_n and B_n in the eqs. (20) and (21) are obtained as the special case of A_n and B_n in the eqs. (11) and (12). From $H_0, \cdots, H_3$ in the eq. (10), we can get two conserved quantities of the discrete Euler top. We can check that H_0 and H_3 are equivalent to the conserved quantities described in [1].

5 Conclusion

From the generalized eigenvalue problem, we obtained the Lax pair of the discrete Euler top proposed in [1].

References

[1] Ryogo Hirota and Kinji Kimura, Discretization of the Euler top, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, Vol. 69, No. 3, 627-630, (2000).

[2] Andrew N.W. Hone and Matteo Petrera, Three-dimensional discrete systems of Hirota-Kimura type and deformed Lie-Poisson algebra, J.Geom. Mech.,Vol. 1, issue 1, 55-85, (2009).

[3] Yu.B. Suris, Generalized Toda chains in discrete time. Leningrad Math. J., vol. 2, 339-352, (1991).

[4] Yu.B. Suris, The Problem of Integrable Discretization: Hamiltonian Approach. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 219. Basel: Birkhauser, chapter 21, (2003).

[5] Alexei Zhedanov, Regular algebras of dimension 2, the generalized eigenvalue problem and Padé interpolation, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Vol. 12, Supplement 2, 333-356, (2005).